advisory

ESTABLISHING RULES OF DECORUM IN A VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING WORLD

Prior to the introduction of a virtual world caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, if you witnessed a city councilor popping open a beer, drinking it and then eating dinner while the council meeting was in session, your reaction likely would be bewilderment and outrage, and lead you to believe that the councilmember simply did not care enough to pay attention to you, or even worse, was impaired while doing official business. Moreover, if there were children in the council chambers, that councilor would be sending a troubling message regarding alcohol and most importantly, respectful decorum.



Since COVID-19 has taken us by storm, technology has been a great tool to allow public officials to hold vital meetings remotely through virtual platforms like Zoom or WebEx so that important work can be performed. As officials and employees turn on their video cameras from their living room couches, home offices, and kitchen tables, we've seen the atmosphere get more casual and relaxed. Numerous incidents have arisen across the country where council or board members, public employees and even attendees have not only subbed their business wear for jeans, sweaters, or even t-shirts but also taken more extreme liberties and been caught drinking alcohol or eating while the meeting is occurring.

In Brighton, Colorado, a councilman showed up for a remote meeting drunk and was later censured by his colleagues. In Flint, Michigan, a councilman was removed from a virtual meeting by other members

who believed he was drunk. In California, a school board President was seen drinking a beer during a zoom meeting and was reprimanded for violating the district's alcohol and drug free workplace policy. In Rhode Island, a school committee member in one community fell asleep in his recliner. In another municipality, the city clerk was seen drinking what appeared to be a beer and eating during a council meeting.

Public officials and city employees may be under the misconception that workplace rules on conduct don't apply when they are in their own home. For all intents and purposes, the person should be considered at work when it is during work hours or when it is at a public meeting. Unfortunately, when expectations are not clearly delineated as to what is appropriate or what constitutes a clear violation of workplace rules, there can be confusion when it comes to disciplinary action. That is why setting clear remote work guidelines is essential.



advisory

First, government chief executives know all too well that discipling public sector employees for workplace behavior is difficult. This can be covered by not just the laws established by ordinances and rules as well as the employee handbook, but more importantly, the respective collective bargaining agreements. These officials should ensure that there are proper protocols addressing the virtual workplace embedded in these documents.

Next, legislative bodies and boards and commissions should undertake a review of their adopted rules to see if actions in these virtual settings can lead to some form of discipline if they are breached. Generally, these rules contain catch-all clauses dealing with decorum during the meeting, but it's better to be more specific to include the online setting as well.

While it remains to be seen whether this new virtual meeting world stays on in the public sector as the pandemic subsides, a commonsense approach in the meantime would be to ask yourself whether this behavior during a virtual meeting is acceptable during an in-person one. In the absence of that, it is critical that government employers and public officials update their policies to set clear guidelines so that people know what is expected in the virtual world when they are participating from home in public meetings. If you would like further information about virtual meetings policies and guidelines, please contact PLDO Partner Allan W. Fung at 401-824-5100 or afung@pldolaw.com.



Allan W. Fung



counselors at law

This memorandum is intended to provide general information of potential interest to clients and others. It does not constitute legal advice. The receipt of this memorandum by any party who is not a current client of Pannone Lopes Devereaux & O'Gara LLC does not create an attorney-client relationship between the recipient and the firm. Under certain circumstances, this memorandum may constitute advertising under the Rules of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court and the bar associations of other states. To insure compliance with IRS Regulations, we hereby inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used and cannot be used for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this communication.